## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

| IN RE LORAZEPAM & CLORAZEPATE ANTITRUST LITIGATION  | )<br>MDL - 1290 (TFH/JMF)<br>Misc. No. 99ms276                            |
|---|---|
| This document applies to:  All Actions  | Judge Thomas F. Hogan  FILED  |
| FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION  Plaintiff,  | ) MAY 1 4 2001<br>) NANCY MAYER WHITTINGTON, CLERI<br>U.S. DISTRICT COURT |
| v.  MYLAN LABORATORIES, INC., CAMBREX CORP., PROFARMACO S.R.L., and GYMA LABORATORIES OF AMERICA, INC., | )<br>) 98-CV-3114 (TFH/JMF)<br>)<br>)<br>)                                |
| Defendants.   | )<br>)<br>)   |

## JOINT PROPOSED SIXTH AMENDED MASTER SCHEDULING ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the schedule in the above-captioned matter shall be amended as follows:

| July 26, 2000 | Fact discovery is suspended generally, except that discovery relating to class certification and standing issues in all Non-Settling Actions commences.  |
|---------------|--|
| May 11, 2001  | By 10:00 a.m., Defendants shall fax to the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs a near-final draft of their reply in support of their Motion to Dismiss Non-Settling Actions. By the end of the day, Defendants shall fax a near-final draft of their sur-reply in opposition to class certification in Non-Settling Actions.               |
| May 14, 2001  | Defendants shall serve and file final versions of their reply in support of Motion to Dismiss Non-Settling Actions and their surreply in opposition to class certification in Non-Settling Actions. These final versions shall not differ substantively from the near-final drafts faxed to Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs on May 11. |
| May 18, 2001  | Plaintiffs shall serve and file their sur-reply in opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Non-Settling Actions.   |

If Defendants wish to include a rebuttal expert affidavit with their papers faxed May 11, 2001 and served and filed May 14, 2001, Plaintiffs reserve their right to object to such filing. If Plaintiffs wish to include a rebuttal expert affidavit with their papers served and filed May 18, 2001, Defendants reserve their right to object to such filing.

General fact discovery, if necessary, recommences 30 days from the Court's decision on class certification issues in the Non-Settling Actions.

Sixty days after general fact discovery recommences, fact discovery for all pending Actions closes and Plaintiffs in all pending Actions provide liability and/or damages expert reports.

Thirty days after Plaintiffs in all pending Actions provide liability and/or damages expert reports, Defendants provide liability and/or damages expert reports.

Thirty days after Defendants provide expert reports, Plaintiffs in all pending Actions provide rebuttal expert reports.

Forty-five days after Plaintiffs in all pending actions provide rebuttal expert reports, expert discovery closes.

Closing date for summary judgment motions forty-five days after expert discovery closes.

Thirty days after the closing date for summary judgment motions, oppositions to summary judgment due.

Thirty days after oppositions to summary judgment are due, replies to oppositions to summary judgment due.

Sixty days after summary judgment motions are fully briefed, final pretrial conference held. Trial to begin within 30 to 60 days of the final pretrial conference pursuant to Local Rule 206(c)(13)

Thomas F. Hogan

United States District Judge